

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY & LIFE SCIENCES (Int. J. of Pharm. Life Sci.)

Antibacterial activity and Preliminary phytochemical screening of hot- aqua extract of *Tetradenia riparia* leaves

Mueni Hellen Ndiku and Mutuku Chrispus Ngule*

1, Department of Family and Consumer, University of Eastern Africa, Baraton, P.O. BOX 2500, Eldoret- 30100, Kenya

2, Department of Chemistry, University of Eastern Africa, Baraton, P.O. Box 2500,

Eldoret - 30100, Kenya

Abstract

Nature is a paradise of medicinal solutions to all ailments affecting human beings. Medicinal plants have been used widely to treat against the currently widespread strains of drug resistant bacteria. Green medicine has attracted great interest due to the belief that it is safe, cheap and more dependable than aliphatic drugs, which have adverse side effects. The current study was done to analyze the Phytochemical and antibacterial activity of hot – aqua extract of *Tetradenia riparia* leaves. The Phytochemical results showed the plant to contain very important compounds (Table 1). The infused plant extract (Table 2) inhibited the growth of all the microorganisms tested. *Bacillus cereus* was the microorganism which had the highest zone of inhibition of 22.67 ± 0.453 , followed by *Serratia liquefaciens* with an inhibition zone of 21.00 ± 0.577 , *Enterobacter aerogenes* inhibition zone of 14.33 ± 0.333 , *Salmonella typhi*, 13.33 ± 0.333 and *Escherichia coli*, 13.33 ± 0.887 . Penicillin which was used as the positive control inhibited all the microorganisms while dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) which was used was used as the negative control did not show any zones of inhibition against the microorganisms used in the study. The results obtained in this study shows that the plant's potency in the treatment against all the bacterial organisms tested. However further research needs to be done to isolate the active compounds, determine their structure, their mode of action and their effect in the *in vivo* environment.

Key-Words: Plants, Antibacterial, Phytochemical, Tetradenia riparia, Ethnobotany

Introduction

Nature is a paradise of medicinal solutions to all ailments affecting human beings through medicinal plants. Medicinal plants have been used widely to treat against the currently widespread strains of drug resistant bacteria. Scientists all over the world are working hard to provide scientific justification on the traditional use of medicinal plants to treat almost all the ailments affecting human beings. Green medicine has attracted great interest due to the belief that it is safe, cheap and more dependable than aliphatic drugs, which have adverse side effects (Anthoney, 2014).

Microbial resistance to the currently used antibiotics has greatly increased in the last four decades despite efforts by the pharmaceutical industries to produce new antibiotics.

* Corresponding Author E-mail: chrisngule@gmail.com Several measures have been put in place in various countries all over the world to control the spreading of drug resistant microorganisms, however, the microorganisms have continued to develop new ways to mutate and acquire resistance to drugs (Nasciment, 2000). According to Montellia and Levy (1991), data collected on resistant microorganisms shows that the period ranging from1980-1990 recorded the highest number of microbial drug resistance. The increase on the number of drug resistance microorganisms calls for quick action to control the situation.

Plants have been used since time immemorial to treat most of the diseases affecting human kind. According Ngule (2013), about 80% of the individuals from developing countries are using traditionally known plants as medicine. The world health organization (WHO), recommends medicinal plants to be the best source of a variety of drugs (Santos, 1990). Botanical medicine is the oldest known type of medicine. The use of plants as source of medicine is as old as the origin of man himself. Medicinal plants have been used widely over all the cultures as sources of drugs for treatment



Research Article CODEN (USA): IJPLCP

of various ailments affecting human beings and animals (Sigh, 2010).

The medicinal values of plants are attributed to pharmacologically active compounds which have no direct impact on the plants main processes but research has proven them to have great medicinal value. These compounds that the plant uses to protect itself against predators are called secondary metabolites or phytochemicals. Over the recent decades, scientist have developed great interest on botanicals to isolate these compounds through various methods such as column chromatography and thin layer chromatography in order to purify them and study their structural elucidation. Studies have shown that plants have great potential in treatment against drug resistant microorganisms (Muroi, 1996).

Medicinal plants have been tested extensively and found to have great pharmacological uses such as antiinflammatory activity, antibacterial activity, antidiabetic activity, anti-fungal activity, anticancer activity, antioxidant activity, hepatoprotective activity, haemolytic activity, larvicidal activity, anthelmintic activity, pain relief activity, central nervous system activity, sexual impotence and erectile dysfunction (Hosahally, 2012, Farook, 2011., Kisangau, 2007., Deepa, 2007., Joshi, 2012., Arivoli, 2012., Adu, 2011 and Kamatenesi-Mugisha, 2005).

The plant*Tetradenia riparia* is a highly branched soft shrub which grows to a height of 1-3M. The stems of the plant arebritlle and semi-succulent. It has stickyaromatic foliage. The plant is mainly found in the wooden hillsides and stream banks of the coastal regions of the Northern province of South Africa, Namimbia, Angola, Botswana and East tropical Africa. Ethnobotanically the plant leaves are used by the Kisii community in the treatment against stomach problems and inflammation. The decoction of the plant leaves isalso used totreat wounds and wound infections.

Material and Methods

Sample Collection and Preparation

The leaves of the plant were randomly harvested in the month of October from the natural forest around University of Eastern Africa, Baraton. The samples were identified by a taxonomist in the Department of Biology, University of Eastern Africa, Baraton. A voucher specimen was prepared and stored in the biology department herbarium. The samples were thoroughly mixed and spread to dry at room temperature in the chemistry laboratory for about three weeks and then ground into fine powder. The powdered samples were stored in transparent polythene bags.

Extraction procedure

Using electric analytical beam balance fifty grams of the leaves were put in a conical flask and heated to boiling for 20 minutes. The extract was filtered using Butchner funnel; Whatman no.1 filter paper, a vacuum and pressure pump. The filtrate was re-filtered again using the same apparatus. The solvent was evaporated using rotary vacuum evaporator (R-11) with a water bath at 50°C. The extract was dried using vacuum and pressure pump at room temperature. The residue was obtained and used for the experiment (Ngule, 2013).

Qualitative phytochemical analysis

The extracts phytochemical analysis for identification of chemical constituents was done using standard procedures with minor adjustments (Trease, 1989; Harborne, 1973 and Sofowara, 1993).

Tannins: About 0.1 g of the extract was put in a test tube and 20 ml of distilled water was added and heated to boiling. The mixture was then filtered and 0.1 % of FeCl₃ was added to the filtrate and observations made. A brownish green color or a blue-black coloration indicated the presence of tannins.

Saponins: About 0.1g of the extract was mixed with 5 ml of water and vigorously shaken. The formation of stable foam indicated the presence of saponins.

Flavonoids: About 0.1g of the extract was mixed with a few fragments of magnesium ribbon (0.5 g) and a few drops of concentrated hydrochloric acid were added. A pink or magenta red color development after 3 minutes indicated the presence of flavonoids.

Terpenoids: About 0.1g of the extract was taken in to a clean test tube 2 ml of chloroform was added and vigorously shaken, then evaporated to dryness. To this, 2 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid was added and heated for about 2 minutes. A greyish color indicated the presence of terpenoids.

Glycosides: About 0.1g of the extract was mixed with 2 ml of chloroform and 2 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid was carefully added and shaken gently, then the observations were made. A red brown color indicated the presence of steroidal ring (glycone portion of glycoside)

Alkaloids: About 0.1g of the extract was mixed with 1% of HCl in a test tube. The test tube was then heated gently and filtered. To the filtrate a few drops of Mayers and Wagner's reagents were added by the side of the test tube. A resulting precipitate confirmed the presence of alkaloids.

Steroids: About 0.1g of the extract was put in a test tube and 10 ml of chloroform added and filtered. Then 2 ml of the filtrate was mixed with 2ml of a mixture of acetic acid and concentrated sulphuric acid. Bluish green ring indicated the presence of steroids.

© Sakun Publishing House (SPH): IJPLS

3781



Phenols: About 0.1g of the extract was put in a test tube and treated with a few drops of 2% of FeCl₃; blue green or black coloration indicated the presence of phenols.

Bioassay study

Preparation of the Bacterial Suspension

The turbidity of every bacterial suspension was prepared to match to a 0.5 McFarland standard, a procedure similar to that used by Biruhalem (2011) and Donay et al., (2007). The McFarland standard was prepared by dissolving 0.5 g of BaCl₂ in 50 ml of water to obtain a 1% solution of Barium chloride (w/v). This was mixed with 99.5 ml of 1% sulphuric acid solution. Three - five identical colonies of each bacterium was taken from a blood agar plate (Himedia) culture and dropped in Mueller Hinton broth (Himedia). The broth culture was incubated at 37°C for 2 - 6 hours until it achieved turbidity similar to the 0.5 McFarland standard. The culture that exceeded the 0.5 McFarland standard were each adjusted with the aid of a UV spectrophotometer to 0.132A⁰ at a wavelength of 600 nm in order to obtain an approximate cell density of 1x10⁸ CFU/ml (Ngule, 2013).

Preparation of the Extract Concentrations and Antibiotic

Extracts stoke solutions were prepared by dissolving 500 mg in 1 ml of dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). An antibiotic control was made by dissolving 500 mg of penicillin in 1 ml of sterile distilled water. DMSO served as a negative control.

Determination of the bioactivity of the Extract

Mueller Hinton agar plates were prepared by the manufacturer's instructions. The bacterial suspension smeared on the media and five wells were drilled in each agar plate. Three of the wells were filled with the extract dilution and the other wells were filled with penicillin and DMSO control respectively. Three plates were made for each bacterial organism and extract giving a triplicate reading for each microorganism and extract. The plates were labeled on the underside and incubated at 37°C for between 24 to 48 hours and the zones of inhibition measured in millimeters with the aid of a ruler (Ngule, 2013).

Results and Discussion

From the phytochemical analysis of the plant (Table 1) it was found to contain tannins, saponins, flavonoids, phenols, and alkaloids. The presence of these compounds in the plant could directly be associated with medicinal value of the plant. Previous studies have shown that the presence of tannins in a plant may enable it to have astringent property which makes it useful in preventing diarrhea and controlling hemorrhage due to their ability to precipitate proteins,

mucus and constrict blood vessels (Kokwaro, 2009). This is the reason why traditional healers use plants reach in tannins to treat wounds and burns since they are able to cause blood clotting.

Tannins have been reported to inhibit HIV replication selectively besides the use of diuretics (Argal, 2006). Tannins have also shown antiparasitic effects (Akiyama, 2001). The anticarcinogenic and antimutagenic potentials of tannins may be related to their antioxidative property which is important in protecting cellular oxidative damage including lipid peroxidation. The growth of many fungi, yeast, bacteria and viruses has been proven to be inhibited by tannins (Chung, 1998)Flavonoids are known to contain specific compounds called antioxidants which protect human, animal and plant cells against the damaging effects of free radicals. Imbalance between free radicals and antioxidants leads to oxidative stress which has been associated with inflammation, autoimmune diseases, cataract, cancer, Parkinson's disease, aging and arteriosclerosis (Sharma, 2006). Alkaloids on the other hand have been found to have analgesic, antispasmodic activity, antihypertensive effects, antimalarial activity, anticancer and anti-inflammatory activities (Banzouzi, 2004, Boye, 1983 and Karou, 2006). The presence of these phytochemicals in the plant leaves and based on the data provided in the literature about them gives the plant its great pharmacological value. The infused plant's extract (Table 2) inhibited the growth of all the microorganisms tested. Bacillus cereus was the most inhibited microorganism, followed by Serratia liquefaciens, Enterobacter aerogenes, Salmonella typhi and Escherichia coli. Penicillin which was used as the positive control inhibited all the microorganisms while DMSO which was used as the negative control did not zones of inhibition against show anv the microorganisms used in the study. One -way analysis of Variance showed that there was significant difference in the zones of inhibition between the microorganisms.

The Turkey's pair wise comparison (Table 3) showed that the zones of inhibition of *Serratia liquefaciens* were significantly higher as compared to those of *Salmonella typhi, Proteus vulgaris, Escherichia coli*, and *Enterobacter aerogenes* (P<0.05), however, there was no significance difference in the zones of inhibition of *Serratia liquefaciens* and those of *Bacillus cereus* (P>0.05).

The zones inhibition of *Salmonella typhi* were insignificant as compared to those of *Proteus vulgaris*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Enterobacter aerogenes* (P>0.05), but significantly lower as compared to those

© Sakun Publishing House (SPH): IJPLS



3782

Research Article CODEN (USA): IJPLCP

of Bacillus cereus. Proteus vulgaris zones of inhibition were found to be insignificant as compared to those of coli and Enterobacter aerogenes Escherichia (P>0.05), but significantly higher compared to those of Bacillus cereus (P<0.001). Zones of inhibition of Escherichia coli were significantly lower than those of Bacillus cereus (P<0.001), but insignificant as compared to those of Enterobacter aerogenes (P>0.05). Bacillus cereus zones of inhibition were significantly higher than those of all the other microorganisms (P<0.001) except for Serratia liquefaciens. The zones of inhibition caused by penicillin were significantly higher as compared to those caused by the plant extract against the microorganisms.

The current study is inconformity with the previous studies in which the plant ethanolic-aqua extract was found to have great antibacterial and antifungal activity against *Staphylococcus aureus, Candida albicans, Mycobacterium smegmatis, Microsporum canis, Trichophytonmenta grophytes* and *Bacillus subtilis* (Vlietinck, 1995). However the current study is different in that the plant leaves samples were extracted using decoction method. The study therefore proves that, when the plant is infused as the trend is traditionally, it does not loose its activity and therefore a scientific prove that the active compounds in the plant remain undenatured even at high temperatures.

After*in vivo*experiments are done to prove its safety and mode of action the plant's extract can be used to treat infections caused by *Bacillus cereus* viz posttraumatic wounds, self-limited gastroenteritis, burns, surgical wounds infections, and ocular infections such as endophthalmitis, corneal abscess and panophthalmitis (Garcia-Arribas, 1988 and Sankararaman, 2013).

The plant extract can also be used to treat immunologically compromised patients including AIDS and malignant disease victims (Cotton, 1987 and Tauzon, 1979). The plant's ability to inhibit the growth of *E. coli* is a scientific justification that the plant can be used to treat against enteric infections caused by the bacteria. The plants extract can also be used to treat against gastro-intestinal diseases, ear infections, urinary tract infections and wounds infections caused by *Proteus vulgaris* (Goodwin, 1971 and Neter, 1943).

The plants ability to inhibit the growth of *Serratia liquefaciens* shows that the plant can be important in the treatment against the infections caused by the bacteria which according to Okunda (1984), cause nosocomial urinary tract infections. The inhibition of the plant against this bacteria is therefore note worthy since the microorganisms have been found to have

[Ndiku & Ngule, 5(8): Aug., 2014:3780-3786] ISSN: 0976-7126

resistance against most of the currently used antibiotics. Enterobacter aerogenes is major cause of a wide variety of nosocomial infections viz, pneumonia, urinary tract infections, meningitis, wound infections and intravascular and prosthetic devices infections (Santos, 1990, blot, 2003 and Donnenbery, 2005). Salmonella sp. makes one of the most common food poisoning forms all over the world (Baker, 2007). The data obtained shows that the plant leaves extract can be used to treat against food poisoning caused by Salmonella typhi. According to Su (2006), Salmonella typhi causes a wide spectrum of diseases which include typhoid fever and non-typhoid salmonellosis which are of great public concern. Despite diversified research on antibiotics against the bacteria, the bacteria still remains obstinate with the treatment against the microorganism taking several weeks. This creates the need to search for more effective antibiotics against the bacteria which are quick to suppress the bacterial effects and eradicate the microorganisms within a shorter period of time. The plant Tetradenia riparia has shown great potency in the action against the microorganisms, therefore, demonstrating high potency in the fight against Salmonella typhi and other Salmonella species.

Conclusion

The plants *Tetradenia riparia* has shown great potency as a source of new antibiotics in the treatment against all the infections caused by the microorganisms tested. The results in this research are a clear indication that the plant extracted in the traditional way can be used for the treatment of various diseases affecting human beings today. It is worthy to mention that the action of the plant against the microorganisms might be due to a synergistic effect of two or more compounds found in the plant. From the results obtained in this research we can recommend the plant for the treatment of all the ailments caused by the bacteria used. However, further research needs to be done in order to isolate the active compounds, determine their structure, their mode of action and their effect in the *in vivo* environment.

Acknowledgement

The authors of this paper are very much thankful to the Department of Chemistry and Department of Biological Sciences, University of Eastern Africa, Baraton for the assistance during the period of conducting this study. Much thanks also goes to the taxonomist Mr. Joel OchiengOndiek for his great assistance in the identification of the plant.

References

1. Adu F., Gbedema S.Y., Akanwariwiak W.G., Annan K. and Boamah V.E. The effects of *Acanthospermumhispidum* extract on the

© Sakun Publishing House (SPH): IJPLS 3783



antibacterial activity of amoxillin and ciprofloxacin, 2011; 3(1): 58-63.

- 2. Akiyama H., Fujii K., Yamasaki O., Oono T. and Iwatsuki K. Antibacterial action of several tannins against *Staphylococcus aureus*".*J.Antimicrobe.*,2001.
- Andrews R.E., Parks L.W. and Spence K.D. Some effects of Douglas terpenes on certain microorganisms. *App. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1980; 40:301-304.
- Anthoney S.T., Ngule C.M., Jackie K.O., Akumu E. and Makau E.N. Evaluation of *in vitro* antibacterial activity in *Sennadidymobotrya*roots methanolic-aqua extract and the selected fractions against selected pathogenic microorganisms.*Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*, 2014; 3(5): 362-376.
- 5. Argal A. and Pathak A.K. CNS activity of *Calotropis gigantean* roots. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 2006; 19: 425-428.
- 6. Arivoli S. and Tennyson S. Larvicidal efficacy of *Strychnosnuxvomica* Linn.(Loganiaceae) leaf extracts against the filarial vector *Culexquinquefanciatus* Say (Diptera : Culicidae). *World Journal of Zoology*, 2012; 7(1): 06-11.
- 7. Banzouzi J.T., Prado R., Menan H., Valentin A., Roumestan C., Mallie M.P.Y. and Blanche Y. Studies on medicinal plants of Ivory Coast: *Investigation of an active constituent phytomed*, 2004; 11: 338-341.
- Biruhalem T., Giday M., Animut A. and Seid J. Antibacterial activities of selected medicinal plants in traditional treatment of human wounds in Ethiopia. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 2011; 370-375.
- 9. Blot S.I., Vandewoude K.H., Colardyn F.A. Evaluation of outcome in critically ill patients with nosocomial *Enterobacter* bacteremia: results of a matched cohort study. Chest., 2003; 123:1208-13.
- Boye G.I. and Ampufo O. Proceedings' on the first international seminar on cryptolepic (ends BoakyeYiadom k Bamgbose, S.O.A) (University of Kumasi, Ghana)., 1983.
- 11. Chung K T, Wong Y T, Wei C I, Huang YW and Lin Y. Tannins and human health. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, 1998; 38 (6): 421-464.
- 12. Cotton, D.J., Gill, V.J., Marshall, D.J., Gress, M., Thaler, M. and Pizzo, P. Clinical features and therapeutic interventions in 17 cases of

Bacillus bacteremia in an immunosupressed patient population *.J. Clin. Microbiol*, 1987; 25: 672-674.

- 13. Deepa N. and Rajendran N.N. Antibacterial and anti-fungal activities of various extracts of *Acanthospermumhispidum DC. Journal of Natural Remedies*, 2007; 7(2): 225-228.
- Donay J.L., Fernandes P., Lagrange P.H. and Herrmann JL. Evaluation of the inoculation procedure using a 0.25 McFarland Standard for the BD Phoenix Automated Microbiology System, *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 2007; 45 (12), 4088-4089.
- 15. Donnenberg M.S. *Enterobacteriaceae*. In: MandellGL,Bennett JE, Dolin R, eds. Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's. Principles and practice of infectious diseases. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone, 2005; 2579.
- Farook S.M. and Atlee W.C. Antidiabetic and hypolipidemic potential of *Tragia involucrata* Linn. In streptozotocin-nicotinamide induced type II diabetic rats. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2011; 3(4): 103-109.
- Garcia-Arribas M.L., Plaza C.J., De La Rose M.C. and Mosso M.A. Characterisation of *Bacillus cereus* strains isolated from drugs and evaluation of their toxins. J. Appl. Bacterial, 1988; 64: 257-264.
- Goodwin C.S., Kliger B.N., and Drewett S.E. Colistin-sensitive Proteus organisms: Including indole-negative *Proteus vulgaris*, nonswarming on first isolation. *Br. J. exp. Path.*, 1971, 52: 138-141.
- 19. Harbone J.B. *Phytochemical methods Chapman and hall ltd*, London, 1973; pp 49-188.
- 20. Hosahally R.V., Sero G., Sutar P.S., Joshi V.G., Sutar K.P. and Karigar A.A. Phytochemical and pharmacological evaluation of *Tragiacannabina* for anti-inflammatory activity. *International Current Pharmaceutical Journal*, 2012; 1(8):213-216.
- 21. Joshi C.G., Gopal M. and Byregowda S.M. Cytotoxic activity of *Tragia involucrata* Linn. extracts. *American-Eurasian Journal of Toxicology Sciences*, 2012; 3(2): 67-69.
- 22. Kamatenesi-Mugisha M. and Oryem-Origa H. Traditional herbal remedies used in the management of sexual impotence and erectile dysfunction in western Uganda. *African Health Sciences*, 2005; 5(1): 40-49.

© Sakun Publishing House (SPH): IJPLS



3784

Research Article CODEN (USA): IJPLCP

- 23. Karou D., Savadogo A., Canini A., Yameogo S., Montesano C., Simpore J., Colizzi V. and Traore A.S. Antibacterial activity of alkaloids from *S.acuta. African Journal of Biotechnology*, 2006; 5 (2): 195-200.
- 24. Kisangau D., Hosea K.M., Joseph C.C. and Lyaruu H.V.M. In vitro antibamicrobial assay of plants used in traditional medicine in Bukoba rural district, Tanzania. *Afr. J. Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines,* 2007; 4(4): 510-523.
- 25. Kokwaro J.O. *Medicinal plants of east Africa*. Nairobi: University Press; 2009.
- Montelli A.C., Levy C.E., Sistema, C.O.B.A. Aspectos reletivosaos dados dos laborato'rios de referência. *Rev. Microbiol.*, 1991; 22: 197-205.
- 27. Muroi H., Kubo I. Antibacterial activity of anacardic acids and totarol, alone and in combination with methicillin, against methicillin- resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. *Appl. Bacteriol.*, 1996; 80: 387-394.
- 28. Nasciment G.G.F., Locatelli J., Freitas P.C. and Silva, L.G. Antibacterial activity of plant extracts and phytochemicals on antibioticresistant bacteria. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 2000; 31: 247-256.
- 29. Neter R.E. and Farrar H.R. *Proteus vulgaris* and *Proteus morganii* in diarrhea disease of infants. *The American Journal of Digestive Diseases*, 1943;10(9): 344-347.
- Ngule, C.M., Anthoney, S.T., Jackie, O.K. Phytochemical and bioactivity evaluation of *Sennadidymobotrya*Fresen Irwin used by the Nandi community in Kenya. *International Journal of Bioassays*, 2013; 2(07): 1037-1043.
- Okuda T., Endo N., Osada Y and Zen-Yoji H. Outbreak of nosocomial urinary tract infections caused by *Serratiamarcescens*. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 1984; 20(4): 691-695.
- 32. Sankararaman S. and Velayuthan S. *Bacillus cereus*.Peditrics in Review, 2013; 34: 196.
- 33. Santos –Filho D., Sarti S.J., Bastos J.K., LeitaoFilho H.F., Machado J.O., et al.

Atividadeantibacteriana de extratosvegetais. *Rev. cien.Fam*, 1990; 12: 39-46.

- 34. Santos Filho D., Sarti S.J., Bastos J.K., LeitaoFilho H.F., Machado J.O., et al. Atividadeantibacteriana de extratosvegetais. *Rev. cien.Fam*, 1990; 12: 39-46.
- 35. Sharma D.K. Pharmacological properties of flavonoids including flavonolignansintegration of petrocrops with drug development from plants. *Journal of scientific and industrial research*, 2006; 65: 477-484.
- 36. Sigh S.A. and Singh R.N. Antibacterial activity of *Cassia didymobotrya* and *Phlogacanthusthyrsiflorus. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 2010; 2(4): 304-308.
- Sofowara A. Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa. Spectrum books ltd, Ibadan Nigeria, 1993; pp 191-289.
- 38. Su L.M.S, Chiu C. Salmonella: clinical importance and evaluation of nomenclature. *Chang Gung Medical Journal*, 2006; 30(3): 210-219.
- 39. Trease G.E. & Evans W.C. *Pharmacognosy*, 11th end, BrailliereTindall, London, 1989; pp 45-50.
- 40. Tuazon C.U., Murray H.W., Levy C., Solny M.N., Curtin J.A. and Shegren J.N. Serious infections from Bacillus species. *JAMA*, 1979; 241:1137-1140.
- 41. Codd L.E. (1985). The genus *Tetradenia*. Flora of Southern Africa, 28(4): 113-116.
- 42. Van Puyvelde L., Lefebvre R., Mugabo P., de Kimpe N. and Schamp N. (1987). Active principles of *Tetradeniariparia*.II. Antispasmodic activity of 8 (14), 15sandaracopimaradiene-7α,18-diol. *PlantaMedica.*, 52: 156-158.
- 43. Vlietinck A. J. *et al.* (1995). Screening of a hundred Rwandese plants for antimicrobial and antiviral properties. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 46: 31-47.



Table 1: Phytochemical results				
Phytochemical	Observation	Inference		
Tannins	Brown green color	Present		
Saponins	Stable form	Present		
Terpenoids	No grey coloration	Absent		
Flavonoids	Yellow color	Present		
Phenols	Blue-black color	Present		
Alkaloids	precipitate	Present		

Table 2: Antimicrobial activity of methanolic-aqua extract against selected pathogenic microorganisms

	Extract mean ±S.E	Penicillin mean	DMSO Mean
	(mm)	± S.E (mm)	± S.E (mm)
Serratia liquefaciens	21.00±0.577	47.67±0.577	0.00 ± 0.000
Salmonella typhi	13.33±0.333	35.33±0.333	0.00 ± 0.000
Proteus vulgaris	12.33±0.667	37.67±0.577	0.00 ± 0.000
Escherichia coli	13.33±0.887	46.67±0.577	0.00 ± 0.000
Enterobacteraerogenes	14.33±0.333	40.00±1.000	0.00 ± 0.000
Bacillus cereus	22.67±0.453	45.67±0.577	0.00 ± 0.000

Key: S.E. = Standard error

Table 3: Tukey's honestly significant difference among micro-organisms using 500mg/l of methanolic-aqua extract

S. liquefaciensvs S. typhi 0.003 S S. liquefaciensvs P. vulgaris 0.001 S S. liquefaciensvs E. coli 0.003 S S. liquefaciensvs E. coli 0.003 S S. liquefaciensvs E. coli 0.003 S S. liquefaciensvs E. coli 0.015 S S. liquefaciensvs B. cereus 0.511 NS S. liquefaciensvs S. liquefaciens control 0.000 S S. typhi vs P. vulgaris 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs B. cereus 0.0000 S S. typhi vs B. cereus 0.0000 S S. typhi vs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisv S. typhi control 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control <t< th=""><th>Comparison</th><th>P-value</th><th>Significance</th></t<>	Comparison	P-value	Significance
S. liquefaciensvs E. coli 0.003 S S. liquefaciensvs E. aerogenes 0.015 S S. liquefaciensvs B. cereus 0.511 NS S. liquefaciensvs S. liquefaciens control 0.000 S S. typhi vs P. vulgaris 1.000 NS S. typhi vs P. vulgaris 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 0.000 S S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 0.000 S S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 0.000 S P. vulgaris vs E. coli 1.000 NS P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000	S. liquefaciensvs S. typhi	0.003	
S. liquefaciensvs E. aerogenes 0.015 S S. liquefaciensvs B. cereus 0.511 NS S. liquefaciensvs S. liqeufaciens control 0.000 S S. typhi vs P. vulgaris 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 0.000 S S. typhi vs S. typhi control 0.000 S P. vulgaris vs E. coli 1.000 NS P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. colivs E. coli control 0.000 S E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereu	S. liquefaciensvs P. vulgaris	0.001	S
S. liquefaciensvs B. cereus 0.511 NS S. liquefaciensvs S. liquefaciens control 0.000 S S. typhi vs P. vulgaris 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 0.000 S S. typhi vs B. cereus 0.000 S S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 0.000 S P. vulgaris vs E. coli 1.000 NS P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S	S. liquefaciensvs E. coli	0.003	S
S. liquefaciensvs S. liqeufaciens control 0.000 S S. typhi vs P. vulgaris 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 0.000 S S. typhi vs B. cereus 0.000 S S. typhi vs S. typhi control 0.000 S P. vulgaris vs E. coli 1.000 NS P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S	S. liquefaciensvs E. aerogenes	0.015	S
S. typhi vs P. vulgaris 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 0.000 S S. typhi vs B. cereus 0.000 S S. typhi vs S. typhi control 0.000 S P. vulgaris vs E. coli 1.000 NS P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S	S. liquefaciensvs B. cereus	0.511	NS
S. typhi vs E. coli 1.000 NS S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS S. typhi vs B. cereus 0.000 S S. typhi vs S. typhi control 0.000 S P. vulgaris vs E. coli 1.000 NS P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. colivs E. aerogenes 0.000 S E. colivs E. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S	S. liquefaciensvs S. liqeufaciens control	0.000	S
S. typhi vs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS S. typhi vs B. cereus 0.000 S S. typhi vs S. typhi control 0.000 S P. vulgaris vs E. coli 1.000 NS P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. colivs E. aerogenes 0.000 S E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S	S. typhi vs P. vulgaris	1.000	NS
S. typhi vs B. cereus 0.000 S S. typhi vs S. typhi control 0.000 S P. vulgaris vs E. coli 1.000 NS P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. colivs E. aerogenes 0.000 S E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S	S. typhi vs E. coli	1.000	NS
S. typhi vs S. typhi control 0.000 S P. vulgaris vs E. coli 1.000 NS P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S	S. typhi vs E. aerogenes	1.000	NS
P. vulgaris vs E. coli 1.000 NS P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S	S. typhi vs B. cereus	0.000	S
P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes 0.979 NS P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S	S. typhi vs S. typhi control	0.000	S
P. vulgarisvs B. cereus 0.000 S P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control 0.000 S E. colivs E. aerogenes 1.000 NS E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S	P. vulgaris vs E. coli	1.000	NS
P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control0.000SE. colivs E. aerogenes1.000NSE. coli vs B. cereus0.000SE. coli vs E. coli control0.000SE. aerogenesvs B. cereus0.000S	P. vulgarisvs E. aerogenes	0.979	NS
E. colivs E. aerogenes1.000NSE. coli vs B. cereus0.000SE. coli vs E. coli control0.000SE. aerogenesvs B. cereus0.000S	P. vulgarisvs B. cereus	0.000	S
E. coli vs B. cereus 0.000 S E. coli vs E. coli control 0.000 S E. aerogenesvs B. cereus 0.000 S	P. vulgarisvs P. vulgaris control	0.000	S
E. coli vs E. coli control0.000SE. aerogenesvs B. cereus0.000S	E. colivs E. aerogenes	1.000	NS
<i>E. aerogenes</i> vs <i>B. cereus</i> 0.000 S	E. coli vs B. cereus	0.000	S
	$E. \ coli \ vs \ \overline{E}. \ coli \ control$	0.000	S
	E. aerogenesvs B. cereus	0.000	S
<i>E. aerogenesvs E. aerogenes control</i> 0.000 S	E. aerogenesvs E. aerogenes control	0.000	S
B. cereus control0.000S	B. cereusvs B. cereus control	0.000	S

Key: S= significance, NS= no significance

How to cite this article

Ndiku M.H. and Ngule M.C. (2014). Antibacterial activity and Preliminary phytochemical screening of hot-aquaextract of *Tetradenia riparia* leaves. *Int. J. Pharm. Life Sci.*, 5(8):3780-3786.

Source of Support: Nil; Conflict of Interest: None declared

Received: 05.07.14; Revised: 05.08.14; Accepted:11.08.14

© Sakun Publishing House (SPH): IJPLS

